EARL BLUMENAUER, OREGON
JAY INSLEE, WASHINGTON
JOHN B. LARSON, CONNECTICUT
STEPHANIE HERSETH SANDLIN, SOUTH DAKOTA
EMANUEL CLEAVER, MISSOURI
JOHN J. HALL, NEW YORK
JOHN SALAZAR, COLORADO
JACKIE SPEIER, CALIFORNIA

ONE HUNDRED ELEVENTH CONGRESS

F. JAMES SENSENBRENNER, JR., WISCONSIN RANKING MEMBER
JOHN B. SHADEGG, ARIZONA
CANDICE MILLER, MICHIGAN
JOHN SULLIVAN, OKLAHOMA
MARSHA BLACKBURN, TENNESSEE
SHELLEY CAPITO, WEST VIRGINIA

Select Committee on Energy Independence and Global Warming A.S. House of Representatives Washington, VC 20515

EDWARD J. MARKEY, MASSACHUSETTS
CHAIRMAN

July 8, 2009

The Honorable Timothy F. Geithner Secretary of the Treasury Presidential Task Force on the Auto Industry 1600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20502 The Honorable Lawrence H. Summers Director, National Economic Council Presidential Task Force on the Auto Industry 1600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20502

Dear Secretary Geithner and Director Summers:

On June 18, I wrote the Attorney General of the United States to express my concerns about the lobbying activities of General Motors (GM) and Chrysler. I would also like to bring these activities to your attention and seek clarification on their propriety.

In recent months, GM and Chrysler have received tens of billions of dollars in federal aid. By most reports, the federal government's stake in GM exceeds 60%. Despite this relationship, both auto manufacturers have maintained at least some lobbying operations.

In addition to internal lobbying shops, both GM and Chrysler are members of the United States Climate Action Partnership (USCAP). USCAP is comprised of a group of businesses and environmental organizations that have coalesced for the sole purpose of lobbying the federal government to enact climate change legislation. In fact, USCAP is largely credited with drafting portions of the 1400-plus page Waxman-Markey climate change bill that the House passed on June 26.

While Americans may be willing to spend their tax dollars to save manufacturing jobs in the midst of a recession, they should not be asked to increase deficit spending to support lobbyists. GM and Chrysler's membership in USCAP therefore warrants close scrutiny. Federal dollars extended as a corporate lifeline should not be redirected to influence federal policy, and retired autoworkers should not have to watch as their pension checks are paid to lobbyists in Washington.

Taxpayers deserve to better understand how their funds are being spent. To that end, I would appreciate a response to the following questions by July 31, 2009:

- What, if any, federal lobbying is permissible for GM and Chrysler?
- Does the Presidential Task Force keep track of all bailout funds sent to the auto manufacturers? Have any funds been used to lobby the federal government?

Secretary Geithner and Director Summers July 8, 2009 Page Two

- What options for enforcement does the Presidential Task Force have if the manufacturers' lobbying activities are found to be inappropriate?
- If GM's and Chrysler's membership in USCAP is contingent on having received bailout funds, can they maintain their membership in USCAP?

I appreciate your assistance in this matter. If you have any questions, please contact Raj Bharwani with the Select Committee on Energy Independence and Global Warming at (202) 225-0110.

Sincerely,

F. James Sensenbrenner, Jr

Ranking Member

Select Committee on Energy Independence and Global Warming